

AD-A218 660

DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Purdue University | | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited. | |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Purdue University | | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR-90-0179 | |
| 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) | | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR/NA | |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 | | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Building 410 Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332-6448 | |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR/NA | | 8b. OFF-ICE SYMBOL (if applicable) AFOSR/NA | |
| 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR 84-0323 | | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS | |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Building 410 Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332-6448 | | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F | TASK NO. A2 |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) (U) Efficient Frequency Doubling for Synchronously Mode-Locked Dye Lasers. | | PROJECT NO. 2308 | WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) G.J. Fiechtner, G.B. King, N.M. Laurendeau, R.J. Knisler and F.E. Lytle | | | |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint | 13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____ | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) | 15. PAGE COUNT 1 |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION | | | |
| 17. CQSATI CODES | | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) | |
| FIELD | GROUP | SUB-GROUP | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Pump/probe spectroscopy Laser diagnostics Combustion Stimulated emission | |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) High-power, wavelength-tunable UV picosecond laser pulses can be generated by normal, angle-tuned, extra-cavity frequency doubling. Conversion efficiencies of 1 to 7% can be obtained by careful adjustment of the dye laser cavity length. | | | |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS | | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified | |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Julian M. Tishkoff | | 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 767-4575 | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL AFOSR/NA |

Efficient Frequency Doubling for Synchronously Mode-Locked Dye Lasers

G. J. FIECHTNER,
G. B. KING,
N. M. LAURENDEAU,
R. J. KNEISLER, and
F. E. LYTLE*

Flame Diagnostics Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering, G.J.F., G.B.K., N.M.L., and Department of Chemistry (R.J.K., F.E.L.), Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Index Headings: Dye lasers; Frequency doubling.

INTRODUCTION

Generation of high-power, wavelength-tunable UV picosecond laser pulses is commonly believed to require the use of pulse compression and cavity dumping¹ or the use of second harmonic generation in either an intracavity geometry² or an external-ring resonator.³ These approaches use either high peak power or high circulating power to increase the generated UV. However, the addition of a pulse compressor increases the laser linewidth, while the use of a cavity dumper reduces the repetition rate of the laser. Both increase the cost and complexity of the laser system. Intracavity frequency doubling requires modification of the dye laser optics, which slaves the dye laser to UV operation and makes scanning the wavelength difficult. Moreover, the majority of such intracavity designs utilize ring dye lasers, which are more difficult to maintain in proper alignment than are standing-wave dye lasers. External ring resonators require additional optical components and critical matching of the two cavity frequencies. In comparison, we have found that normal, angle-tuned, extra-cavity frequency doubling can produce conversion efficiencies from 1 to 7% by careful adjustment of the dye laser cavity length.

INSTRUMENTATION

Tunable visible radiation is provided by a rhodamine 6G dye laser (Spectra-Physics Model 575B) that is synchronously pumped by a mode-locked, frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser (Spectra-Physics Series 3000) operating at 82 MHz. The output of the dye laser passes through a focusing lens, a LiIO₃ crystal, a collimating lens, and a Pellin-Broca prism. The UV power is monitored with a pyroelectric radiometer (Laser Precision Corp., Model RkP 545). The 10 × 10 × 2-mm LiIO₃ crystal, cut at an angle of 60°, is encased in a cell with AR-coated windows. The crystal and cell, which is filled with index-matching fluid, are obtained from Quantum Technology Inc., Sanford, FL. A combination of translation and rotation stages allows positioning of the crystal to maximize the UV output.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previous instrument development studies in pump-probe spectroscopy^{4,5} have led us to the realization that dye laser cavity length is a critical parameter in determining signal quality. While attempting to produce high average power UV, we found that maximum conversion efficiency to the second harmonic also depends strongly on cavity length. For both characteristics, minimizing the autocorrelator pulse width does not locate the proper position. The optimum length for frequency doubling is from 2 to 12 μ m longer than that producing the shortest pulses, depending upon the number of elements in the Lyot filter. The length adjustment has to be made very carefully since minor changes have a pronounced effect on the UV power produced for a given fundamental power. For example, a 2- μ m change from the optimum cavity length yields a 27% drop in UV power.

Using 3.0-ps pulses at 600 nm and an average fundamental power of 390 mW passing through a 160-mm-focal-length lens, 12 mW of average UV power was produced at 300 nm. The power could be increased to 28 mW with the use of a 120-mm-focal-length lens, but the UV power dropped and then stabilized at 19 mW over a ten-minute period. This results in a maximum conversion efficiency of between 3 and 7%. These values compare very favorably with a reported conversion efficiency of 9×10^{-4} % for intra-cavity doubling of a continuously mode-locked laser⁶ and 15% for extra-cavity doubling of a cavity-dumped, sub-picosecond laser.⁷ At the edges of the dye tuning curve, the conversion efficiency remained higher than 1%. As an example, at 635 nm the average fundamental power dropped to 185 mW but still produced 2.1 mW of average UV power at 317.5 nm.

This observation is not an isolated event unique to the laser or doubling crystal. Comparable results have been independently reproduced on other laser systems with different LiIO₃ crystals. The optical scheme for producing high-power, high-repetition-rate picosecond UV pulses is simple, and the conversion efficiencies obtained are substantially higher than any previously reported for continuously mode-locked dye lasers. As noted previously, the precise cavity length for maximum conversion efficiency is difficult to locate with the use of an autocorrelator. Monitoring UV power is the best method for fine cavity length adjustment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Force Systems Command, USAF, under Grant Number AFOSR-84-0323. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Governmental purposes, notwithstanding any copyright notation thereon.

1. C. V. Shank, E. P. Ippen, and O. Teschke, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **45**, 291 (1977).
2. T. F. Johnston, Jr., and T. J. Johnston, *Laser Spectroscopy VI*, Springer Ser. Opt. Sci. (Springer Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983), Vol. 40, pp. 417-418.
3. D. Welford, W. Sibbett, and J. R. Taylor, *Opt. Comm.* **35**, 28 (1980).
4. N. Wang and U. Gaubatz, *Appl. Phys. B* **40**, 47 (1980).
5. P. A. Elzinga, R. J. Kneisler, F. E. Lytle, Y. Jiang, G. B. King, and N. M. Laurendeau, *Appl. Opt.* **26**, 4300 (1987).
6. R. J. Kneisler, F. E. Lytle, G. J. Fiechtner, Y. Jiang, G. B. King, and N. M. Laurendeau, *Opt. Lett.* **14**, 20 (1989).

Received 8 April 1989.

* Author to whom correspondence should be sent.

90 02 23 099